PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 301555.01

Group Art Unit: 2165

Examiner: Michael J. Hicks Confirmation Number: 9011

First Named Inventor: Suraiit Chaudhuri

Application No.: 10/600,083 Filed: June 20, 2003

Filed: June 20, 2003 Customer No.: 22971

Title: FFFICIENT FUZZY MATCH FOR EVALUATING DATA RECORDS

ie: EFFICIENT FUZZY MATCH FOR EVALUATING DATA I

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the above identified application with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to

the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the

record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly

or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the

effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in

any way fully enumerated.

By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the

associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have

been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner

as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the cited reference(s) do or do not teach

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the

Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the

Page 1 of 2

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance Appl. No.: 10/600.083

Docket No.: 301555.01

same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 28, 2007 By: / Sung T. Kim /

Sung T. Kim

Reg. No.: 45,398

Direct telephone (703) 647–6574 Microsoft Corporation

One Microsoft Way

Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

September 28, 2007	/Kate Marochkina/
Date	Signature
	Kate Marochkina
	Printed Name

Docket No.: 301555.01